

## Post-2020 global biodiversity framework: commitment, implementation and accountability

8 October 2019, Hotel Sofitel Brussels Europe, Brussels, Belgium

### Provisional agenda and organization of work

The organization of work is provisional pending knowledge of final numbers for the workshop, which will dictate how the space available can be most effectively used while maximising opportunity for discussion.

Time	Agenda	Format and purpose
8:30-9:00	<b>Registration</b>	
9:00-9:30	<b>Opening session</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Welcome</li> <li>– Aims of the meeting</li> <li>– Working methods</li> </ul>	<i>Presentation and discussion in plenary to ensure a clear understanding of what the meeting aims to achieve. Working method follow the Chatham House Rule.</i>
9:30-10:00	<b>Brief presentations on discussion topics</b> <i>given by the organizers on each of the topics for discussion during the morning breakout group sessions, and based on background documents available in advance of the meeting</i>	<i>These presentations would highlight the context, the information resources available to participants, and the questions to orientate discussion. It is not intended that these would be discussed in plenary, but that following the presentations participants would move to the coffee break and then discussion groups.</i>
10:00-10:30	<b>Coffee and tea break</b>	
10:30-12:00	<b>Breakout group discussion</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Commitment: NBSAPs and other national commitments that respond to the Convention and its Protocols, and to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework</li> </ol>	<i>Participants would be divided into a number of breakout groups, all discussing the same topic. Facilitators/rapporteurs would be identified in advance, and other means would be also be employed to ensure that key elements of all discussions are captured.</i>
12:00-13:00	<b>Reports back to plenary</b>	<i>Each of the breakout group facilitators/rapporteurs would have around 5 minutes available to report back on key issues arising in the discussions. Those reporting need not repeat what others have already said when reporting on the same topic, but can build on earlier reports. Following all reports on a specific topic, time would be available for further comment and discussion</i>
13:00-14:00	<b>Lunch</b>	

<b>Time</b>	<b>Agenda</b>	<b>Format and purpose</b>
14:00-14:30	<b>Brief presentations on discussion topics</b> <i>given by the organizers on the topics for discussion during the afternoon breakout group sessions; based on background documents available in advance of the meeting, but also drawing attention to the links to discussions during the morning session</i>	<i>These presentations would highlight the context, the information resources available to participants, and the questions to orientate discussion. It is not intended that these would be discussed in plenary, but that following the presentations participants would move to the coffee break and then discussion groups.</i>
14:30-16:00	<b>Breakout group discussion</b> 2. Implementation: National reports as means for tracking implementation and achievement of commitments made through NBSAPs and other mechanisms 3. Learning from review and reporting under other intergovernmental processes, where national efforts to address global commitments and accountability are encouraged 4. Accountability and Transparency - tracking and reporting on delivery of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework at the global level	<i>Each participant would choose one (or possibly two) of the three topics, and participants would be divided into a number of breakout groups to discuss their chosen topic. Facilitators/rapporteurs would be identified in advance, and other means would also be employed to ensure that key elements of all discussions are captured.</i>
16:00-16:30	<b>Coffee and tea break</b>	
16:30-17:30	<b>Reports back to plenary</b>	<i>Each of the breakout group facilitators/rapporteurs would have around 5 minutes available to report back on key issues arising in the discussions. Those reporting need not repeat what others have already said when reporting on the same topic, but can build on earlier reports. Following all reports on a specific topic, time would be available for further comment and discussion</i>
17:30-18:00	<b>Wrap-up session</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Consideration of implications of discussion for future meetings of the open-ended working group</li> <li>– Identification of next steps</li> <li>– Closing of the conference</li> <li>– Invitation to reception</li> </ul>	<i>This session would clarify that the report would be based on both meeting discussion and background documents, and would be presented as a resource for further use and not as a consensus document. It would be made available for review. The session would also identify any potential follow up work that might be useful. In both cases timelines would be defined.</i>
18:00-20:30	<b>Reception offered by the Finnish Presidency of the Council of the European Union and IUCN at the Museum of Natural Sciences</b>	